# Selecting and Optimising Hearing Aids for Tinnitus Benefit: a rough guide

## **BY GRANT D SEARCHFIELD**

earing aids have a relatively long history as tinnitus treatment tools. Saltzman and Ersner reported success in suppressing tinnitus with simple hearing aids in a number of cases as early as 1947 [1]. In an early comprehensive approach to tinnitus management the American otologist EP Fowler wrote:

# "Nothing is more effective in curing tinnitus than diminishing deafness and nothing so harmful as increasing deafness." [2]

### ......

Although there has been an understandable drive to develop effective pharmaceutical and noninvasive brain stimulation techniques for tinnitus management, they have yet to meet with great clinical success. Likewise many new sound based therapies for tinnitus have recently been introduced. When it comes to tinnitus, hearing aids can be thought of as 'the elephant in the room'; the most obvious solution to a tinnitus sufferer's complaints often appears to be overlooked in favour of new, innovative, but unproven treatments. A recent review of hearing aids for tinnitus management showed that while there was an absence of strong randomised controlled trials, the quantity of research was firmly in favour of hearing aid effectiveness [3]. There are many potential modes of action for hearing aids in tinnitus. Hearing aids may act to reduce tinnitus by improving hearing and consequently quality of life, by reducing attention to hearing, through raising audibility of ambient sound to interfere with tinnitus, by masking and

/ or disrupting auditory processing of tinnitus, and by gaining adaptation and neural plasticity [3].

In the past little attention has been paid to how hearing aids should be selected and fitted to manage tinnitus. Most studies appear to fit hearing aids along conventional lines with little consideration for the nature of the tinnitus or how hearing aids might be interfering with tinnitus.

#### Selection

In 2006, based on clinical experience and largely anecdotal evidence, I provided some recommendations for hearing aid fitting in tinnitus management [4]. The principle was to provide as much gain of low intensity ambient sound as possible without louder sounds causing discomfort. In selection of hearing aids the ideal devices are those that allow the dual, but potentially antagonistic goals of improving the audibility of speech and amplifying ambient sound to interfere with tinnitus. I recommend:

- Binaural fitting for bilateral hearing loss, even if tinnitus is unilateral, to provide more normal auditory balance.
- Open fit where possible, to avoid occlusion while providing targeted amplification to high frequency hearing loss.
- A low compression kneepoint to ensure audibility of low intensity sounds. Low compression kneepoints and wide dynamic range compression are much more likely to amplify quiet ambient sounds than linear strategies.
- A low compression kneepoint with higher than normal compression ratio may limit discomfort to amplified sounds when sound intolerance accompanies tinnitus. In cases of hyperacusis, gain lower than normal targets, low maximum power output and high compression ratios may be needed. To achieve

targets in relation to understanding of speech and tinnitus reduction aims, reduced compression and more gain can be progressively introduced as tolerance allows.

- Expansion turned off. Expansion below the kneepoint is designed to reduce quiet sounds and internal hearing aid noise, both can be useful for reducing tinnitus audibility, so expansion should be off.
- An omnidirectional microphone setting. In quiet environments an omnidirectional setting will not act to reduce sounds coming from the back; these sounds again may be helpful.
- Noise reduction algorithms switched off. While useful for providing comfort in noise, these are counterproductive in quiet when the hearing aid should amplify background sounds to interfere with the tinnitus.

In the last eight years experimental evidence to support some of these suggestions has been published (for a review see Shekawat et al. [3]). One of the most informative pieces of research into the clinical effectiveness of hearing aids investigated the relationship between tinnitus masking, the audiogram and hearing aid bandwidth. McNeil et al. [5] found that patients who had hearing aids that completely masked their tinnitus showed a much greater reduction in tinnitus reaction than those who had partial masking, while those who reported that hearing aids did not have any effect on tinnitus audibility showed no improvement (even though their hearing was improved). This result suggested that masking might be more important than psychosocial benefit provided by assisting hearing. The ability to achieve the masking was related to the degree of hearing loss. Those hearing aid users who achieved masking had better low frequency hearing. Those participants with even a mild low frequency hearing



Figure 1: A rough guide to selecting the type of device for tinnitus sound therapy based on the audiogram. The area in which the audiogram falls suggests the device type. Blue: Recommend sound generators. White: Hearing aids. Red: Combination device. Grey: Cochlear implant. A) An audiogram for a person with whom I would initially trial a hearing aid. B) An audiogram indicating greater hearing loss – I would initially trial a combination device. The dots represent the Audibility Index (1 dot = 1%).

loss were less likely to achieve masking with hearing aids. Tinnitus pitch relative to hearing aid bandwidth was also important, if the tinnitus pitch was higher than the high frequency range of the aids used a reduction in tinnitus was less likely. Based on this emerging evidence I now recommend that hearing aids should be considered when tinnitus pitch is less than 8 kHz (within the amplification range of most aids) and the person has normal hearing to mild hearing loss in the low frequencies. Outside of this range I now recommend combination hearing aid and sound generators (sometimes called tinnitus instruments or 'combi' devices), and for severe to profound hearing loss cochlear implants (Figure 1). Back in 2006 I was cautious in recommending the use of combination devices, largely because of the limited technology available at the time. Since then most major manufacturers have introduced combination devices, not only with new sound generators (e.g. shaped noise, environmental steering, fractal sounds), but also importantly with the latest in hearing aid signal processing.

#### Fitting

I recommended fitting to the desired sensation level input / output (DSLI/O) prescriptive procedure because it provides fitting targets that are higher for low intensity inputs to high frequencies than many other fitting formulae (i.e. suggests more amplification of soft sounds). There is some empirical evidence to suggest that DSL is indeed a good starting point for prescription of tinnitus hearing aid output [6]. Real-ear verification of output is also important in fitting aids for tinnitus, as it is the only reliable method for seeing if quiet sounds exceed threshold and hence should be audible for the client. If real-ear measures aren't undertaken, the range of sounds audible as a function of frequency cannot be related to the individual's tinnitus, making fine-tuning to provide greater interference 'pot-luck'.

A last but very important point is that as clinicians we should not be slaves to the technology, but rather need to consider the individual in front of us and their psychological needs. Tinnitus is not just about the detection of a sound; its severity is also influenced by context, emotion and the individual's personality [7]. Fitting of hearing aids can be beneficial, but counselling has to be at the core of any current tinnitus treatment approach. In the future augmentation or 'priming' of hearing aid effects through modulation of auditory plasticity (via neuromodulators) might be possible. We still have much to discover in order to make the most effective use of hearing aids and combination devices. To do that we must harness objective measures of neural function and use hearing aids as probes of auditory function.

#### Summary

As the title of this article suggests, there are no precise guidelines for the fitting of hearing aids for tinnitus yet. I have suggested a rough guide; it may prove useful in your clinical practice. The basic premise is to do your best to improve your patients' hearing and stimulate the auditory system with low level sounds, beyond that we are still discovering, however I strongly believe that Fowler was correct.

#### References

- Saltzman M, Ersner MS. A hearing aid for the relief of tinnitus aurium. *The Laryngoscope* 1947;**57(5)**:358-66.
- Fowler EP. Nonvibratory tinnitus; factors underlying subaudible and audible irritations. *Archives of Otolaryngology* 1948;47(1):29-36.
- Shekhawat GS, Searchfield GD, Stinear CM. Role of hearing aids in tinnitus intervention: A scoping review. Journal of the American Academy of Audiology 2013; in press.
- Searchfield GD. Hearing Aids and Tinnitus. In Tyler RS (Editor), *Tinnitus Treatment*. New York, Thieme; 2006.
- McNeill C, Tavora-Vieira D, Alnafjan F, Searchfield GD, Welch D. Tinnitus pitch, masking, and the effectiveness of hearing aids for tinnitus therapy. International Journal of Audiology 2012;51(12):914-9.
- Shekhawat GS, Searchfield GD, Kobayashi K, Stinear CM. Prescription of hearing-aid output for tinnitus relief. *International Journal of Audiology* 2013;**52(9)**:617-25.
- Searchfield GD, Kobayashi K, Sanders M. An adaptation level theory of tinnitus audibility. Frontiers in Systems Neuroscience 2012;6:46.

#### .....



Declaration of

Grant D Searchfield, BSc MAud(Hons), PhD, Head of Audiology, School of Population Health, The University of Auckland, Private bag 92019, Auckland, New Zealand.

E: g.searchfield@ auckland.ac.nz

**Competing Interests** The author has received funding from several international hearing aid manufacturers to support research and technology development.

####