A binaural detection task that reveals deficits in listeners having 'slight' or 'hidden' hearing loss

BY LESLIE R BERNSTEIN AND CONSTANTINE TRAHIOTIS

The association of degraded binaural processing in adults with clinically defined 'slight' or 'hidden' high frequency hearing loss is of great interest to clinicians if it can be measured. **Leslie R Bernstein** and **Constantine Trahiotis** share their findings in measurements of binaural detection thresholds in 31 adults using interaurally delayed tonal signals and noise maskers.

Introduction

This study assessed whether audiometrically-defined 'slight' or 'hidden' hearing losses might be associated with degradations in binaural processing. Our data suggest that listeners whose high-frequency monaural hearing status would be classified audiometrically as being normal or 'slight loss' may exhibit substantial and perceptually meaningful losses of binaural processing.

Motivation

A burgeoning area of interest within the auditory community is the investigation of 'hidden hearing loss'. Schatte and McAlpine used the term to refer to abnormal auditory brainstem responses in humans having tinnitus but who had normal audiograms [1]. Their findings were consistent with the outcomes of several anatomical and physiological studies employing animals [2, 3]. The results from several such studies suggest that peripheral auditory neural coding deficits may occur in the absence of measurable losses in absolute hearing sensitivity. Those studies motivated others to investigate the possibility of behavioural deficits in people categorised via standard audiometry as having normal hearing or, at most, 'slight' hearing loss. To date, behavioural experiments concerning 'monaural' auditory processing (i.e. processing not involving binaural interaction) have failed to reveal unequivocally any deficits in the face of normal or near-normal audiograms.

As discussed in our published report on this topic [4], we hypothesised that behavioural measures of *binaural* auditory performance could reveal the presence of deficits that may not be discoverable via standard audiometric testing or measures of monaural processing. To explain, consider that neural information arising from pairs of frequency-matched, monaural, left and right cochlear regions serve as the inputs to central binaural processing. Next, consider that binaural processing relies upon an effective multiplication of stimulussynchronised, yet monaural inputs. Because of such multiplication, small deficits in neural encoding essentially randomly distributed across the monaural channels would be expected to be magnified at the output of the binaural processor. We reasoned that appropriate behavioural measures of binaural detection could reveal those larger deficits.

Measures of binaural detection

Background

Across many contexts, interaural (acrossear) temporal differences (ITDs) provide important cues for binaural processing. Modern conceptions and quantitative modelling of how listeners localise, lateralise, and detect sounds binaurally rely on the idea that external ITDs of sounds are compensated internally. The compensation is conceptualised as being accomplished by an internal interaural delay network that is often depicted as a neurally-based crosscorrelogram having x, y and z axes of ITD, frequency and amount of neural activity, respectively.

Recently, we developed a paradigm allowing us to measure the precision with which ITD is coded along that putative internal interaural delay-line network. Our approach centred on the measurement of binaural detection in the classic $NoS\pi$ stimulus configuration in which a noise

masker is presented identically (diotically) to the two ears (No) and a tonal signal is presented 180° out of phase across the ears $(S\pi)$. The addition of the $S\pi$ signal to the masker produces interaural differences that serve as the basis for detection. The novel twist was to measure $NoS\pi$ detection thresholds after the application of an ITD to the entire signal-plus-masker waveform. This stimulus configuration is referred to as $(NoS\pi)T$. Importantly, if the listener could compensate perfectly for the ITD imposed, then detection thresholds would not change as the magnitude of the ITD is increased. This is so because perfect ITDcompensation would, effectively, remove the interaural delay (T), thus transforming (NoSπ)T back to NoSπ. Conversely, if precision of ITD-compensation declines with the magnitude of the ITD imposed, then thresholds of detection would increase with ITD. Such an outcome would be considered to be a manifestation of progressively increasing 'processing noise' along the internal delay line. Increases in processing noise would reduce the listener's 'internal' signal-to-masker ratio and require increases in signal level to maintain performance.

Procedures

Thirty-one listeners, aged 21 to 67, participated. Their audiometric thresholds between 250 Hz and 8 kHz, measured in octave steps, were ≤ 25 dB HL. Thus, all listeners had, at most, 'slight' hearing loss, as defined clinically.

 $(NoS\pi)T$ detection thresholds were measured as a function of ITD (T) via a twoalternative forced-choice adaptive task. For stimuli centred at 500 Hz, tonal signals were masked by 900-Hz-wide Gaussian noises.



Figure 1. Grey lines in each panel represent the mean 'threshold' S/N (dB) across all 31 listeners, plotted as a function of ITD (μ s). The red and blue lines represent the data partitioned based on listeners' hearing thresholds at 4 kHz (s 7.5 dB HL vs > 7.5 dB HL, respectively). Half-filled circles and squares represent the mean thresholds after further partitioning of the data by age. Symbols with their top halves filled represent mean thresholds from listeners aged > 45 yr; symbols with their bottom halves filled represent mean thresholds from listeners aged < 45 yr.

For stimuli centred at 4 kHz, 125-Hz tonal signals were added to narrowband maskers (50- or 100-Hz wide) before being transposed to 4 kHz [4]. Stimuli were presented at a level of 67 dB SPL.

Results

Figure 1 displays the results obtained at the two centre frequencies with signal-to-noise ratio (S/N in dB) required to reach threshold performance plotted as a function of ITD. The grey lines represent mean thresholds across all 31 listeners. The red and blue lines represent the data partitioned based on listeners' hearing thresholds at 4 kHz (\leq 7.5 dB HL vs > 7.5 dB HL, respectively). Those data are also partitioned based on age. Symbols with their top halves filled represent mean thresholds from listeners aged >45 yr; symbols with their bottom halves filled represent mean thresholds from listeners aged \leq 45 yr.

The general patterning of the data shows that detection thresholds increase with the magnitude of the ITD, suggesting that compensation of ITD is progressively less precise as ITD increases. The blue and red lines indicate that, for all values of ITD tested, listeners having values of HL exceeding 7.5 dB at 4 kHz required larger values of S/N in order to detect the signal than listeners having values of HL at 4kHz that were \leq 7.5 dB. That outcome is striking and is consistent with our hypothesis that even slight elevations in monaural absolute thresholds can result in substantial reductions in binaural sensitivity. It is crucial to note that data obtained in monaural masking control conditions (not shown here) revealed no differences for the two groups of listeners. The blue and red half-filled symbols reveal that binaural detection thresholds for listeners having absolute thresholds at 4 kHz >7.5 dB HL fall above the grand mean regardless of age while absolute thresholds for listeners having hearing thresholds \leq 7.5 dB HL fall below the grand

mean regardless of age. Partitioning of the data in these manners demonstrates that the measured elevations in binaural detection thresholds are more attributable to hearing level than to age.

Summary

It was hypothesised that audiometrically-defined 'slight' or 'hidden' hearing losses might be associated with degradations in binaural processing. Binaural detection thresholds were measured with interaurally delayed tonal signals and noise maskers. Thirty-one listeners, all classified audiometrically as having no greater than 'slight' hearing loss were tested. The results showed that:

- Binaural detection thresholds increased with increasing magnitude of interaural delay, suggesting a loss of precision of coding with magnitude of interaural delay
- Binaural detection thresholds were consistently elevated for listeners whose monaural absolute thresholds at 4 kHz exceeded 7.5 dB HL
- Partitioning and analyses of the data revealed that the elevations in binaural thresholds were more attributable to hearing level than to age.

All of the foregoing suggests that a sensitive binaural test may reveal substantial and perceptually meaningful deficits in listeners whose high-frequency monaural hearing status would be classified audiometrically as being normal or 'slight' loss.

References

- 1. Schatte R, McAlpine D. Tinnitus with a normal audiogram: physiological evidence for hidden hearing loss and computational model. *J Neurosci* 2011;**31**:13452-7.
- Kujawa SG, Liberman MC. Adding Insult to Injury: Cochlear Nerve Degeneration after 'Temporary' Noise Induced Hearing Loss. J Neurosci 2009;29:14077-85.
- Sergeyenko Y, Lall K, Liberman MC, Kujawa SG. Age-related cochlear synaptopathy: an early-onset contributor to auditory functional decline. J Neurosci 2013;33:13686-94.
- Bernstein LR, Trahiotis C. Behavioral manifestations of audiometrically-defined "slight" or "hidden" hearing loss revealed by measures of binaural detection. J Acoust Soc Am 2016;140: 3540-8.

Acknowledgement: This research was supported by the Office of Naval Research (ONR Award No. N00014-15-1-2140).

AUTHORS



Leslie R Bernstein, PhD, Professor,

Depts of Neuroscience and Surgery (Otolaryngology) University of Connecticut Health Center, Farmington, CT, USA. **E: Lbernstein@uchc.edu**

:: Lbernstein@uchc.edu

Constantine Trahiotis, PhD, Professor Emeritus,

Depts of Neuroscience and Surgery (Otolaryngology) University of Connecticut Health Center, Farmington, CT, USA.

E: tino@uchc.edu

For almost four decades, we and our colleagues and students have conducted and published research aimed at gaining a broader understanding of how temporal and spectral aspects of physical waveforms arriving at the ears are processed by the auditory system and how the resulting information is utilised to detect, discriminate and localise sounds. While contribution of fundamental empirical data has been primary, the enterprise has also focused on development, evaluation and extension of cross-correlation-based modelling approaches.

####